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Dear Friends,

Here at Western Center, we welcome the new decade with both unfaltering resolve and an exciting transition.

As of February 2020, I will have retired from Western Center, after nearly 11 years as Executive Director. I am more than confident that my successor will build on our strong existing programmatic and financial foundation and strategic plan, and inspire and lift Western Center to new levels of impact in the coming decade.

It’s been a privilege to lead Western Center, working with a brilliant and driven staff, a dedicated board of directors, and deeply committed friends and supporters. Thank you all for sharing in our work to create a more just California.

Onward,

PAUL TEPPER
Through the lens of economic and racial justice, we litigate, educate and advocate around health care, housing, public benefits, access to justice, and financial security laws, policies, and administration.

FOR OVER FIVE DECADES AND IN EVERY BRANCH OF GOVERNMENT, FROM THE COURTS TO THE LEGISLATURE, WESTERN CENTER HAS ADVOCATED FOR CALIFORNIANS EXPERIENCING POVERTY.

OUR LITIGATION
We file litigation across California, from Del Norte to Imperial County, to make sure the laws intended to protect and support Californians with low incomes — laws we often sponsor in Sacramento — are upheld by government and private entities. Good laws mean nothing without enforcement.

OUR ADMINISTRATIVE ADVOCACY
Much like our litigation, our work with county, city, and state agencies serves to ensure that the policies and procedures meant to protect and uplift Californians living in poverty are implemented properly across California. Sometimes a simple shift in procedure, or guidance from our advocates, can make a difference in real life outcomes for thousands of Californians.

OUR STATE BUDGET ADVOCACY
While budget advocacy often proceeds quietly, its impact on individuals and families in California can be far reaching and life-changing. 2019 marked the beginning of a new Administration willing to use the budget as a mechanism for leveling the playing field in California. The 2019 budget was the foundation for what we hope to be continued, substantial investments in a future that benefits all Californians.

OUR STATE POLICY ADVOCACY
California is often viewed as a progressive state, but there are still many ways that racism, classism, and overall inequity wreak havoc on its residents. Our state policy work centers on advancing legislation that gets to the heart of the issues causing California’s massive income inequality, unsustainable housing market, lack of access to health care, and destructive systems of justice.
California has the fifth largest economy in the world, but it also has the highest rate of poverty in the United States. Close to 20 percent of Californians live near or below the poverty line, and two million of them are children. We work to lift individuals and families out of poverty, and make our state a more equitable place to live.

IN 2019, WESTERN CENTER DROVE VICTORIES TO ADVANCE THAT MISSION IN IMPORTANT WAYS.

FINANCIAL SECURITY

BUDGET & ADMINISTRATIVE ADVOCACY

Major CalWORKs Grant Increase. Grants for one-person households are, for the first time since 1997, up to 50 percent of the federal poverty level (FPL) and up to 48 percent of FPL for all other household sizes.

Increase to CA’s Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC). The EITC increase will boost the value of the credit so it includes $15-an-hour minimum wage workers in 2022, and provides a $1,000 annual Child Tax Credit to families with children under age six making up to $30,000 per year.

Improvements to CalWORKs. These included the repeal of the CalWORKs asset test for all families unless they have more than $10,000 in assets, an increase in the earned-income disregard from $225 per month to $500 per month, and the expansion of home visiting supportive services for families with young children.

“I’m proud that I’m on CalWORKs because it helps me to become what I want to be. I am a single mom completely supporting my daughter on my own, and CalWORKs is helping me become a registered nurse so I won’t need any help and I can completely provide for my daughter. That’s just been a dream for me and I couldn’t do it without CalWORKs.”

– SIERRA O’LEARY, College of the Redwoods student

California, 2019
FINANCIAL SECURITY

BUDGET & ADMINISTRATIVE ADVOCACY (CONTINUED)

Increased Access to Homeless Services.
The budget removes the limitation in CalWORKs that required 16 days of temporary housing to be counted consecutively, and now allows 16 days to be used over a one-year period.

$30 million for college campus hunger and homelessness relief.

$20 million for the Equal Access Fund supporting legal services. This includes funding for new investments for eviction defense, immigrant rights, garment workers, and community justice projects.

SSI (Supplemental Security Income) “Hold Harmless” program made permanent.
The budget made permanent two programs to replace some or all lost CalFresh food benefits for households when an SSI recipient’s income is added to a family’s income and pushes them over the eligibility threshold. California is the only state in the country to provide this benefit.

$6 million in additional CalFresh benefits provided to 50,000 households to address food loss due to PG&E power shutoffs. To address the loss of food resulting from Pacific Gas and Electric’s intentional power shutoffs, Western Center worked with the CA Department of Social Services to secure federal approval of automatic replacement of CalFresh benefits for households residing in 231 zip codes across 28 counties.

LEGISLATION

Employers can’t discriminate based on a person’s hair. Discrimination based on hair style and hair texture is now prohibited in California. SB 188 (Mitchell)

Helping people keep their driver’s licenses. This clean-up legislation creates new opportunities to secure payment plans for unpaid parking tickets, rather than facing the loss of a driver’s license. AB 833 (Lackey)

Alternatives to bail. Standards are now established for pre-trial assessments used by courts and probation departments across the state. SB 36 (Hertzberg)

Limits on bank levies so families can pay for basic needs. Bank levies by debt collectors are capped to ensure that basic needs of the consumer can be met. SB 616 (Wieckowski & L. Rivas)

School kids are guaranteed a decent lunch. Protections are expanded to ensure that school children are not denied a school meal or otherwise shamed with a minimal alternative meal when they don’t have money for lunch. SB 265 (Hertzberg)

CalWORKs Income Exclusions. Earnings from U.S. census work, all scholarships received by a minor, and rental payments received by a government entity are now excluded from income calculations for CalWORKs. AB 807 (Bauer-Kahan)

Simplifying the CalFresh application process. Paperwork is reduced and the process for verifying housing expenses is streamlined when households apply for CalFresh. AB 49X (Berman)

Statewide standards for the CalFresh Restaurant Meals Program (RMP) established. Access to the RMP for all elderly, disabled, or homeless CalFresh recipients is guaranteed, regardless of which county they live in. AB 942 (Weber)

CalFresh recipients don’t bear the burden when the county makes a mistake. Disabled, elderly, and pregnant individuals and households with kids under five can’t be forced to repay CalFresh benefits that were incorrectly awarded due to a county’s own administrative error. SB 490 (Hurtado)

LITIGATION

Riverside County now providing General Assistance (GA) to over 4,000 individuals in deep poverty, up from 100 in 2010. (Bojorquez v. County of Riverside) The county agreed to end its prior illegal policy limiting homeless recipients to six months of housing assistance payments, raised GA grant amounts, raised resource limits, simplified application processes, issued guidance regarding due process, and ended a policy that required employable recipients to re-apply for benefits every month, even though their circumstances were unchanged. Co-counsel: Inland Counties Legal Services, Inc. and the Public Interest Law Project

CASES TO WATCH

Car owner loses legally parked car and forced to pay $1,000 for the tow (Safuto v. City of L.A.) A lawsuit was filed on behalf of Mr. Safuto, whose car was towed by the LA Police Department, even though it was not impeding traffic or public safety. The eviction of the tow was that the registration was expired. Mr. Safuto was prevented from re-registering the car due to two unpaid parking tickets, even though he had paid the registration fees. Mr. Safuto was enrolled in a County program at the time, which allowed him to pay the tickets over time. As a result of the tow, Mr. Safuto lost his car and was charged $1000 by the towing company. The suit challenges the city’s practice of towing vehicles without a public safety justification based on lapsed registration, and it challenges the way the city conducts tow hearings.

Co-counsel: Legal Aid Foundation of Los Angeles and ACLU-Southern California

San Bernardino denies welfare to thousands of poor and homeless individuals. (Banda v. County of San Bernardino) This lawsuit challenges the county’s welfare system, which grants only 200 people General Relief (GR). GR is the welfare program for adults without children who are experiencing deep poverty. The county has over two million residents, 16 percent of whom live below the poverty line; 2,607 are experiencing homelessness.
California’s housing crisis is not new, but is now in a state of emergency. The problem is evident to Californians at all income levels, but people living in poverty and communities of color suffer most. Our goal is for ALL Californians to have access to healthy, sustainable, and affordable housing.

THESE ARE SOME OF THE VICTORIES WESTERN CENTER WAS A PART OF IN 2019 THAT HELP FURTHER THAT GOAL.

**BUDGET & ADMINISTRATIVE ADVOCACY**

- **$650 million in homelessness funding.**
  These funds must be spent by cities, counties, and continuums of care on evidence-based solutions to address and prevent homelessness, including rental assistance, rapid rehousing, operating subsidies to ensure affordability of housing for extremely low-income populations, navigation centers and emergency shelters based on demonstrated need, and hotel and motel conversions to housing for people at risk of or experiencing homelessness.

- **$1.5 billion for affordable housing.**
  Includes new funding for three state housing programs (Low-Income Housing Tax Credit, Infill Infrastructure Grant, and CalHFA’s Mixed-Income Loan), funds to assist local governments and regional updates to planning and zoning documents to support additional housing development.

- **Elimination of bureaucratic obstructions that deny low-income tenants access to code enforcement investigations in Kern County.**
  The county eliminated policies that denied code enforcement services to low-income tenants facing problems like rodent infestation, damaged ceilings, inoperable plumbing, and unsanitary conditions.
LaToya was excited to finally get off the waiting list for a Section 8 voucher and into a home for her and her son. But after submitting over 30 applications, the only place she could find was unlivable. Ultimately, she gave up her voucher and moved away from her hometown of Stockton to find a safe place she could afford. Situations like hers are why we co-sponsored SB 329.

Western Center co-sponsored SB 329 to end the kind of income discrimination LaToya experienced.

LaToya was excited to finally get off the waiting list for a Section 8 voucher and into a home for her and her son. But after submitting over 30 applications, the only place she could find was unlivable. Ultimately, she gave up her voucher and moved away from her hometown of Stockton to find a safe place she could afford. Situations like hers are why we co-sponsored SB 329.

Western Center co-sponsored SB 329 to end the kind of income discrimination LaToya experienced.
HEALTH

BUDGET & ADMINISTRATIVE ADVOCACY

Young adults between 18 and 26 are now eligible for Medi-Cal, regardless of immigration status. Full-scope Medi-Cal is expanded to all income-eligible young adults up to age 26, regardless of immigration status.

Medi-Cal income eligibility parity for seniors and people with disabilities. Seniors and people with disabilities will no longer be subject to a lower income eligibility than most other adults, effective August 2020.

Critical Medi-Cal benefits restored. After more than 10 years of cuts due to budgetary reasons, optical, audiology, podiatry, speech therapy, and incontinence creams and washes are restored for Medi-Cal consumers, effective January 2020.

Covered California is more affordable. Additional subsidies mean no premiums for people with incomes below 138 percent of the federal poverty level, and new subsidies are available for higher income households.

Fixes made to computer programs blocking care for Medi-Cal recipients. Thousands of children can access Medi-Cal after the state reprogrammed its statewide computer system, which previously prevented certain Medi-Cal recipients from obtaining needed services to which they are entitled.

Health insurance agents required to disclose the dangers of Health Care Sharing Ministries. Health Care Sharing Ministries (HCSM), which are not insurance programs, allow members to share health care costs. They can discriminate and deny health care services or prescription drugs for any reason. Western Center advocacy resulted in new mandatory disclosures, requiring health insurance agents who market HCSMs to inform consumers of their substantial risks.

Health is a human right, so we work to preserve and expand health care for all Californians, regardless of immigration, age, or health status.

THESE ARE SOME OF THE WAYS OUR WORK ADVANCED QUALITY HEALTH CARE FOR ALL CALIFORNIANS IN 2019.
MEDICAL CREDIT CARDS PURPORT TO EASE COST BURDENS, BUT INSTEAD CREATE STRESS. A NEW WESTERN CENTER-SPONSORED LAW CHANGES THAT.

For many medical consumers, medical credit cards are offered as a way to pay for unexpected medical costs not covered by insurance. The tricky part about medical credit cards, and what many consumers of the cards misunderstand, is that while they enjoy that zero percent rate for a period of time, when the introductory period is up, they will not only pay a higher rate, but they will also face deferred interest. Deferred interest provisions allow card issuers to charge interest on the entire original balance, regardless of how much is paid off during the introductory period.

To add insult to injury, many people are encouraged to sign up for medical credit cards as they await services in treatment rooms. Without the time and means to research alternatives, and in such stressful circumstances, consumers don’t have the opportunity to fully understand what they are signing up for.

To protect medical consumers, Senator Holly Mitchell introduced SB 639 to prohibit medical providers from offering products with deferred interest provisions, and to prohibit them from signing patients up for medical credit cards in treatment areas.

The bill, which goes into effect in July 2020, also requires providers that accept Medi-Cal to explain to patients what Medi-Cal does and does not cover, and requires language in the notices about medical credit cards to be written at a sixth grade reading level.

Products with ‘gotcha’ clauses like deferred interest have no place in a medical practice. Consumers should never feel pressured into applying for medical credit cards, and they should always understand what they are signing up for—especially since their health and wellbeing are on the line. That’s why we proudly co-sponsored SB 639.

– JEN FLORY, Western Center policy advocate

LITIGATION

California must provide in-home nursing services for over 4,000 disabled children, not just agree to pay for it. (I.N. v. Kent)

Co-counsel: Disability Rights California

Thousands of people with severe disabilities can stay at home rather than being sent to an institution. (Thomas v. Kent)

The state ended its arbitrary Medi-Cal monetary cap on coverage for programs that keep people with disabilities from being sent to an institution. (I.N. v. Kent)

Thousands of people with severe disabilities can stay at home rather than being sent to an institution. (Thomas v. Kent)

The class action lawsuit alleges that the district effectively segregates almost half of its students with disabilities, particularly Black students, by relegating them to separate classrooms on otherwise integrated campuses. (Black Parallel School Board v. Sacramento City Unified School District)

Co-counsel: Disability Rights California, Equal Justice Society, National Center for Youth Law

Medi-Cal beneficiaries with unstable, complex medical conditions fight to continue treatment with their physicians. (Jane H. v. Kent and Robbins v. Kent)

Against its own rules and the fundamental requirements of due process during state fair hearings, the state was transferring Medi-Cal beneficiaries to managed care plans without sufficiently considering their medical records and recommendations from their treating physicians.

Co-counsel: Neighborhood Legal Services of Los Angeles County and Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP

CASES TO WATCH

Suit challenges Sacramento school district’s practice of segregating and mistreating students with disabilities. (Black Parallel School Board v. Sacramento City Unified School District)

The class action lawsuit alleges that the district effectively segregates almost half of its students with disabilities, particularly Black students, by relegating them to separate classrooms on otherwise integrated campuses.

Co-counsel: Disability Rights California, Equal Justice Society, National Center for Youth Law
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Co-counsel: Neighborhood Legal Services of Los Angeles County and Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP

California Supreme Court agrees to decide if the 45-day Medi-Cal application processing deadline is a target or a requirement. (Rivera v. Douglas)

In 2014, hundreds of thousands of Medi-Cal applicants waited months, and sometimes more than a year (beyond the prescribed federal and state 45-day deadline), for the state to approve or deny their applications. Following the filing of Rivera v. Douglas, the backlog was reduced and new procedures were adopted by the state. Last year, the Court of Appeal reversed the trial court and ruled that the 45-day deadline was “merely a target, not an absolute requirement” and that acting on 90 percent of the applications within a 45-day period met the statutory requirement. After the California Supreme Court agreed to review the Court of Appeal decision, Western Center filed a brief asking the Court to affirm every Medi-Cal beneficiary’s right to a 45-day application processing deadline.

Co-counsel: Bay Area Legal Aid, Multiforum Advocacy Solutions, Central California Legal Services, National Health Law Program, and Neighborhood Legal Services of Los Angeles County

LEGISLATION

Health insurance gaps eliminated.

A Covered California auto-enrollment provision has been created to prevent gaps in coverage for people who lose Medi-Cal coverage due to increased income. SB 260 (Hurtado)

Implicit bias training for perinatal providers.

To help address the maternal mortality rate among Black women in California, which is four times higher than for women of other races, perinatal providers are now required to have implicit bias training, along with improved data collection regarding maternal mortality and morbidity. SB 464 (Mitchell)

Stopping the confusing yo-yoing of seniors between two Medi-Cal programs.

Some seniors and persons with disabilities need both Medi-Cal & Medicare to cover their health care costs. They will now remain on Medi-Cal regardless of who pays the premium, as long as income remains the same. AB 1088 (Wood)

Protecting patients from credit card scams.

Medical providers are now prohibited from offering deferred interest medical credit cards to patients who are under anesthesia, sedated, or in treatment areas. SB 639 (Mitchell)
PROTECTING CALIFORNIA IN THE FACE OF FEDERAL THREATS

Throughout 2019, the federal government levied a steady barrage of policies and rule proposals aimed at undermining the country’s public safety net and civil rights protections, many of which could hit California particularly hard.

“For low-income individuals, both those who work and those who don’t or can’t, SNAP offers an essential support for preventing hunger. People looking for work need access to food, because unsurprisingly, hunger undermines employment goals.

– JESSICA BARTHOLOW, Western Center policy advocate.

WESTERN CENTER IS ON THE FRONTLINES OF THE RESPONSE TO MANY OF THESE ACTIONS — FROM SUING THE ADMINISTRATION OVER ITS PUBLIC CHARGE IMMIGRATION RULE, TO BEING A PRIMARY VOICE AGAINST EFFORTS TO CUT SNAP FOOD BENEFITS FOR MILLIONS OF AMERICANS.

Challenging cuts to SNAP food benefits.
Western Center is a lead voice in the California opposition to the federal government’s proposals to make cuts to the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program. We submitted comments to the USDA opposing rule changes for so-called Able-Bodied Adults Without Dependents, Categorical Eligibility, and the Standard Utility Allowance. Taken together, the rule changes could result in cuts to food benefits, and greater hunger, for millions of Americans. Western Center is actively engaged in the ongoing fight to keep the rules from being implemented.

Fighting the federal government’s Public Charge rule.
(\La Clínica de la Raza et al. v. Trump et al.)
Western Center and co-counsel sued the Administration, challenging its new public charge regulation, which creates new barriers for immigrants, and means that millions of citizen children who live in a household with a non-citizen will likely forgo medical services, housing supports, and food assistance to which they are legally entitled.
Co-counsel: National Immigration Law Center and National Health Law Program

At Western Center on Law & Poverty, we advocate for the health and dignity of people in poverty, and we see the current Administration’s efforts to scale back the federal safety net as a hardhearted and misguided manipulation of welfare reform. In an economy as strong ours, allowing people to go hungry is immoral and short-sighted.”
– JESSICA BARTHOLOW, Western Center policy advocate.
The federal Fair Housing Act (FHA), initially adopted on the heels of the assassination of Dr. Martin Luther King Jr., is one of our most important tools to fight against the discrimination and limitations that have defined housing practices in our country’s history.

Housing advocates use the FHA to challenge the practices of landlords who unfairly refuse potential tenants, the lending practices of banks that prey on vulnerable groups, and zoning changes by local governments that limit equitable opportunity.

In August, the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) proposed a rule that would dramatically change the way that the FHA can be used. Courts and HUD have interpreted the FHA as including the “disparate impact” theory of housing discrimination for decades, recognizing that discrimination occurs when a facially neutral practice has a discriminatory effect on a protected class.

HUD’s proposed changes to the disparate impact theory are aimed at making it more difficult to allege discrimination, while limiting liability for landlords, banks, and insurance companies. It will make it more difficult for people to find and create homes by allowing housing-related businesses to unfairly limit where and how they can live.

The proposed rule is significant because it weakens our ability to combat racism. Racism has traditionally been understood as blatant and intentional discrimination — but now, sophisticated housing providers know not to overtly treat people differently, and discrimination often takes the form of “neutral” policies that end up harming people of color. That is why Western Center is involved in efforts to stop implementation of a final rule.

– Matt Warren, Western Center staff attorney
“They make big money on poor people’s misery, you know, insecure people’s misery. They just take your car because they can sell it at auction. It’s just a game. Big business for them. It’s sad but it’s true”
– RANDOLPH FISHER, San Francisco.

Towed into Debt: How Towing Practices in California Punish Poor People.
This report reveals how local governments in California use car towing and the associated fees in ways that disproportionately harm Californians living in poverty. It documents how hundreds of thousands of California drivers have their cars towed every year for non-emergency, non-safety related reasons, including unpaid tickets, expired registration, and parking for more than 72 hours. People often can’t afford to retrieve their vehicles once administrative fees, storage fees, and unpaid tickets are added together — fee totals often reach $1500 or more. The report proposes simple fixes to this unfair and likely illegal practice. Co-authored by Western Center, Lawyers’ Committee for Civil Rights, Legal Services of Northern California, ACLU of California, Bay Area Legal Aid, Legal Aid Foundation of Los Angeles, Public Law Center, Public Counsel, and the East Bay Community Law Clinic.

This report describes how hundreds of thousands of California children living in poverty do not receive all of the child support payments made by their parents, because most of it goes to state government to recoup welfare payments. Released in a collaboration between Western Center, PolicyLink, The San Francisco Financial Justice Project, and Tipping Point Community.

Western Center worked with the UC Berkeley Law School Policy Advocacy Clinic to track implementation of SB 190, which repealed county authority to charge fees in the juvenile legal system and ended several fees for young people ages 18-21 in the criminal (adult) legal system. Researchers found that all counties stopped charging new juvenile fees before the law went into effect in January of 2018, and while SB 190 did not waive previously assessed fees, 36 counties voluntarily discharged or stopped collecting them, relieving hundreds of thousands of families of more than $237 million. However, 22 counties are still pursuing over $136 million in previously assessed juvenile fees.
Western Center provides help to hundreds of legal services and community-based attorneys and advocates throughout the state and nation. We provide trainings, one-on-one technical assistance, and numerous advocate resources in all of our issue areas.

**TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AND TRAINING HIGHLIGHTS**

**Western Center’s National Fines and Fees Convening**
With support from Arnold Ventures, Western Center and the UC Berkeley Law School Policy Advocacy Clinic co-hosted a two-day convening of national advocates working on driver’s license suspensions and juvenile justice fines and fees. The convening was coordinated by the Shriver Center’s Legal Impact Network. Building upon the expertise and successes of Western Center’s California work, attendees from 30 states discussed advocacy strategies, made new connections, and worked on campaigns focused on effective strategies to end driver’s license suspensions and juvenile court fines and fees in their states.

**Western Center Northern and Southern California Health Policy Summits**
Over 60 health policy advocates joined Western Center staff for our annual health policy summits. The gatherings provided an opportunity for Western Center advocates to learn about the ground issues facing health consumers as we shape our 2020 health agenda, and an occasion to deliver in-depth training to advocates working in the communities we serve.

**Western Center General Assistance Summit**
Western Center convened a statewide gathering of 75 legal service advocates exploring possible litigation or legislative changes to improve county administered General Assistance/General Relief programs.

**Training Days – Los Angeles and Bay Area**
Over 138 attorneys and advocates from 38 legal services organizations attended Western Center’s Annual Training Days aimed at strengthening the litigation skills of legal services staff.

**Working Groups and Community Support**
Western Center staff participate in dozens of stakeholder and other working groups and taskforces, which allows us to keep an ear to the ground for emerging issues, address ongoing problems, create new solutions, and maintain and strengthen relationships with community-based organizations and other stakeholders.
Our work is made possible by the generosity of our donors. Thank you!

PRO BONO PARTNERS

Western Center’s work is amplified through pro bono partnerships with law firms and law schools, whose attorneys and students donate thousands of hours to support our work.

Our Work is Made Possible by the Generosity of Our Donors. Thank You!
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Western Center’s work is amplified through pro bono partnerships with law firms and law schools, whose attorneys and students donate thousands of hours to support our work.
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**Boards and Financials**

**Revenue**

- Grants & Contracts: 2,602,224
- Contributions: 1,021,344
- Attorney’s Fees: 883,486
- Cy Pres: 23,036
- Interest & Investments: 47,851
- Other: 31,083
- Total Revenue: 4,609,025

**Expenses**

- Program: 3,451,271
- General & Administrative: 398,224
- Fundraising: 575,212
- Carry Over: 184,319
- Total Expenses: 4,609,025
Joel Daniel Phillips is an American artist whose work focuses on the tenets of classical draftsmanship employed in monumental formats. Inspired by the depth and breadth of human experience, he strives to tell the personal and societal histories etched in the world around him. The focus of his work centers on questions of truth, historical amnesia, and the veracity of the stories we tell ourselves about our collective pasts.

Phillips’ work has been exhibited at institutions and galleries across the United States as well as abroad, including the Smithsonian National Portrait Gallery, Philbrook Museum of Art, Tacoma Art Museum, The Art Museum of South Texas, Fort Wayne Museum of Art, Gilcrease Museum of Art, and the Ackland Art Museum. In 2016, he was the 3rd prize recipient in the Outwin Boochever Portrait Competition from the Smithsonian National Portrait Gallery.

Phillips’ drawings can be found in the public collections of the Denver Art Museum, the West Collection, the Gilcrease Museum, the Fort Wayne Museum of Art, and the Philbrook Museum of Art, among others.

joeldanielphillips.com