1	LENA SILVER (SBN 298309) RACHEL STEINBACK (SBN 310700)				
2	DINA DIMIRJIAN (SBN 338109)				
	NEIGHBORHOOD LEGAL SERVICES				
3	OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY				
4	1102 East Chevy Chase Dr. Glendale, CA 91205				
5	Telephone: (818) 834-7547				
	Facsimile: (833) 537-5529				
6	Email: lenasilver@nlsla.org				
7	Email: rachelsteinback@nlsla.org Email: dinadimirjian@nlsla.org				
8	Zinaii diladiiiijanojiiolaorg				
9	Attorneys for Petitioners-Plaintiffs Hunger Action Los Cangress dba Los Angeles Community Action Network	_			
	and Peter Jeovanny Torres-Gutierrez	1 ,			
10	[Additional counsel listed on the following page]				
11					
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STA	ATE OF CALIFORNIA			
13	COUNTY OF LOS A	NGELES			
14					
15	HUNGER ACTION LOS ANGELES, CANGRESS dba LOS ANGELES COMMUNITY ACTION	Case No. 21STCP03835			
16	NETWORK, and PETER JEOVANNY TORRES-	VERIFIED PETITION FOR WRIT			
17	GUTIERREZ, Petitioners/Plaintiffs	OF MANDATE AND COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF (CODE			
		CIV. PROC. §§ 1085, 526a)			
18	VS.	, ,			
19	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, LOS ANGELES				
20	COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES, LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF				
21	SUPERVISORS, and ANTONIA JIMÉNEZ in her				
22	official capacity as the Director of the Department of Public Social Services,				
23	,				
24	Respondents/Defendants.				
25					
26					
27					
28					

1	MELISSA A. MORRIS (SBN 233393) VALERIE FELDMAN (SBN 210155)
2	LAUREN HANSEN (SBN 268417)
	PUBLIC INTEREST LAW PROJECT
3	449 15th Street, Suite 301
4	Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: (510) 891-9794
5	Facsimile: (510) 891-9797
	Email: mmorris@pilpca.org
6	Email: vfeldman@pilpca.org
7	Email: lhansen@pilpca.org
8	ALEXANDER PRIETO (SBN 270864)
9	ROBERT D. NEWMAN (SBN 86534)
10	WESTERN CENTER ON LAW & POVERTY
10	3701 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 301
11	Los Angeles, CA 90010 Telephone: (213) 235-2617
12	Facsimile: (213) 487-0242
12	Email: aprieto@wclp.org
13	Email: rnewman@wclp.org
14	[Counsel for Petitioners/Plaintiffs continued from previous page]
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

INTRODUCTION

- 2 1. Each month, thousands of destitute individuals and families in Los Angeles County are
- 3 placed at risk of going hungry because the County fails to process applications for emergency
- 4 CalFresh food assistance benefits within the expedited timeframe required by state and federal
- 5 law.

- 2. Recognizing the vital importance of CalFresh benefits, formerly known as Food Stamps,
- 7 for those facing severe economic hardship, the Legislature has mandated that counties provide
- 8 CalFresh benefits within three calendar days when applicants have extremely low income and
- 9 resources or cannot meet their monthly housing expense.
- 3. In September 2021, the County failed to meet this three-day deadline for 29 percent of
- eligible applications, impacting over 4,900 needy individuals and families. That same month the
- 12 County also failed to meet the seven-day deadline under federal law for 20 percent of eligible
- 13 applications, impacting over 3,300 individuals and families.
- 4. Petitioner Peter Jeovanny Torres-Gutierrez is among those adversely affected by the
- 15 County's failure to comply with state and federal law. In June 2021, when Mr. Torres-Gutierrez
- was 17 years old, his father applied for CalFresh after a stroke left him unable to continue
- 17 working as a day laborer. Mr. Torres-Gutierrez's household had no income and was entitled to
- 18 receive CalFresh benefits within three days, but they had to wait 45 days before receiving aid.
- 19 5. The County's failure to timely provide CalFresh benefits to County residents who qualify
- 20 for expedited service has also harmed Petitioners Hunger Action Los Angeles and Los Angeles
- 21 Community Action Network, two non-profit organizations fighting hunger in Los Angeles
- 22 County. The County's delays impair Hunger Action Los Angeles's efforts to help eligible
- 23 individuals and households enroll in the CalFresh program and require it to spend additional time
- 24 following up on delayed applications. Los Angeles Community Action Network's low-income
- 25 and unhoused members have also faced delays in accessing CalFresh. Especially during the
- 26 COVID-19 pandemic, when its members' and neighbors' food needs have been even more acute,
- 27 Los Angeles Community Action Network has had to devote significant resources to providing
- 28 food to people in Skid Row.

- 6. The harms that result when people—especially children—go hungry are significant, far-
- 2 reaching, and undisputed. Even short periods of hunger can have profound and long-lasting
- 3 effects on an individual's physical and mental health. Hunger also negatively impacts adults'
- 4 ability to work and children's attendance and focus at school. People who are eligible for
- 5 expedited service CalFresh are already in desperate financial situations. They, by definition, lack
- 6 the income and resources to meet basic needs like food and housing; many are homeless or
- 7 actively fleeing domestic violence. Delays in processing expedited service CalFresh, even for
- 8 just a few days, have real and serious impacts on applicants' lives.
- 9 7. In this action, Petitioners seek a writ of mandate and injunctive relief requiring
- 10 Respondents to comply with their mandatory duty to provide expedited CalFresh benefits to
- eligible applicants within the time required by Welfare and Institutions Code § 18902 and 7
- 12 U.S.C. § 2020(e)(9).

13 PARTIES

- 8. Petitioner Hunger Action Los Angeles ("Hunger Action") is a 501(c)(3) non-profit
- 15 organization dedicated to ending hunger and promoting healthy eating in Los Angeles County
- 16 through advocacy, direct service, and organizing. Hunger Action's staff provide assistance
- 17 applying for CalFresh to people eligible for benefits. Hunger Action has a significant interest in
- 18 food policy impacting its clients, including the lawful implementation of the CalFresh program.
- 9. Petitioner Cangress, dba Los Angeles Community Action Network ("LA CAN"), is a
- 20 membership-based 501(c)(3) non-profit organization in Los Angeles County working on behalf
- 21 of extremely low-income and unhoused residents of downtown and South Central Los Angeles.
- 22 Its mission is to create opportunities for people experiencing poverty and to ensure they have a
- 23 voice in the decisions that affect them. Since 2004, one of LA CAN's core projects has been
- 24 ensuring access to healthy food, including through state and local policy advocacy regarding
- 25 CalFresh. LA CAN has members who qualify for CalFresh benefits and meet the criteria for
- 26 expedited processing of CalFresh applications.
- 27 10. Petitioner Peter Jeovanny Torres-Gutierrez is a resident of Los Angeles County. Mr.
- 28 Torres-Gutierrez is 18 years old and completing his senior year in high school. He lives in El

- 1 Monte, California with his father, his older sister, and his sister's children. He has received
- 2 CalFresh benefits through the County since July 19, 2021.
- 3 11. Respondent Los Angeles County Department of Public Social Services ("the
- 4 Department") is the public agency responsible for administering the CalFresh program within the
- 5 County, including ensuring timely processing of CalFresh applications.
- 6 12. Respondent Antonia Jiménez is the Director of the Department and is responsible for its
- 7 lawful operation. Director Jiménez is sued in her official capacity.
- 8 13. Respondent Los Angeles County is a county of the State of California and is responsible
- 9 for the Department.
- 10 14. Respondent Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors is the legislative body responsible
- 11 for managing the County government.

12 STATUTORY BACKGROUND

- 15. The Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program ("SNAP") is the country's largest anti-
- 14 hunger program and provides monthly benefits that eligible low-income households can use to
- purchase food at authorized retailers. Recognizing that "the limited food purchasing power of
- 16 low-income households contributes to hunger and malnutrition among members of such
- 17 households," Congress enacted SNAP to "alleviate . . . hunger and malnutrition" and to enable
- 18 "low-income households to obtain a more nutritious diet." 7 U.S.C. § 2011.
- 19 16. SNAP is a federal-state partnership. The United States Department of Agriculture
- 20 ("USDA") pays the full cost of SNAP benefits, with the cost of administering the program
- 21 divided between USDA and states and local governments.
- 22 17. The federal Food and Nutrition Act requires states that participate in SNAP to designate a
- 23 state agency to carry out the program. 7 U.S.C. § 2020. States design their own plans for
- 24 administering SNAP benefits within the parameters set by the Food and Nutrition Act and
- 25 (USDA) regulations. Id.
- 26 18. The California Department of Social Services is the state agency responsible for
- 27 administering SNAP in California, where the program is known as "CalFresh." County welfare

- departments administer CalFresh locally in each county in accordance with Department of Social
- 2 Services rules and regulations. Welf. & Inst. Code § 18902.
- 3 19. Federal law mandates that most SNAP applications must be processed within 30 days of
- 4 initial application. 7 C.F.R. § 273.2(g)(1).
- 5 20. However, counties must provide faster, expedited processing for certain households
- 6 whose lack of resources make their need for food assistance extremely urgent. Under federal
- 7 law, expedited service CalFresh benefits must be provided no later than seven calendar days
- 8 following the date of application to applicants who have less than \$150 in gross monthly income
- 9 and less than \$100 in liquid resources or whose monthly housing costs are more than the sum of
- their liquid resources and gross income for the month. 7 U.S.C. § 2020(e)(9); 7 C.F.R.
- 11 § 273.2(i)(1), (i)(3)(i).
- 12 21. California has adopted a shorter time frame for expedited benefits. Under Welfare and
- 13 Institutions Code § 18914(b), "[a]pplicants who meet the federal criteria for expedited service . .
- 14 . shall receive either a manual authorization to participate or automated card or the immediate
- 15 issuance of CalFresh benefits no later than the third day following the date the application was
- 16 filed." California requires counties to deliver benefits to eligible households on or before the
- 17 third calendar day after application, even if the third day falls on a weekend or holiday.
- 18 California Department of Social Services Manual of Policy and Procedures § 63-301.531(a).

19 FACTS

- 20 22. Month after month, Respondents fail to issue CalFresh benefits to thousands of needy
- 21 County residents eligible for expedited service within the time required by state law. According
- 22 to data reported by the County to the state Department of Social Services, Respondents failed to
- 23 provide timely expedited benefits to an average of 36 percent of eligible households during the
- 24 12-month period from October 2020 through September 2021, totaling over 54,000 eligible
- 25 applications that the County did not process on time. In September 2021, the most recent month
- 26 for which data is available, 29 percent of emergency applications were processed late meaning
- 27 over 4,900 households did not receive their CalFresh benefits on time in that month alone. In the

- 1 preceding two months, July and August 2021, the County processed less than half of eligible
- 2 expedited services applications within the three-day deadline.
- 3 23. The chart below summarizes Respondents' consistent failure to comply with state law:

4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	

18

19

20

22

Month	Applications Processed under ES ¹	Found entitled to ES	ES Benefits issued late (>3 days)	Percentage of Benefits issued late (>3 days)
October 2020	50,295	10,322	2,964	28.72%
November 2020	46,743	10,572	2,894	27.37%
December 2020	63,319	14,630	4,427	30.26%
January 2021	69,338	14,375	5,391	37.50%
February 2021	61,678	12,856	4,330	33.68%
March 2021	58,335	11,824	3,574	30.23%
April 2021	53,011	10,771	3,101	28.79%
May 2021	41,406	9,574	3,293	34.40%
June 2021	47,579	11,312	5,278	46.66%
July 2021	56,977	12,219	6,328	51.79%
August 2021	77,936	14,391	7,645	53.12%
September 2021	57,105	16,963	4,939	29.12%

24. Respondents have also consistently failed to provide expedited benefits within the longer seven-day window that federal law requires them to meet. From October 2020 through

21 September 2021, an average of 23 percent of County households eligible for expedited CalFresh

benefits had to wait more than seven days after submitting their application before receiving

23 aid—totaling over 34,000 households.

24 //

25 //

2627

¹ This column includes only those applications disposed of during the month (meaning fully processed, and either approved or denied) and also processed under expedited service. This number does not include the total number of applications submitted to the Department during the calendar month.

25. The chart below summarizes Respondents' consistent failure to comply with federal law:

2 3 4	Month	Applications Processed under ES	Found entitled to ES	ES Benefits issued in more than 7 days	Percentage of Benefits issued more than 7 days late
5	October 2020	50,295	10,322	1,544	14.96%
6	November 2020	46,743	10,572	1,399	13.23%
7	December 2020	63,319	14,630	2,361	16.14%
8	January 2021	69,338	14,375	3,303	22.98%
9	February 2021	61,678	12,856	2,679	20.84%
10	March 2021	58,335	11,824	2,111	17.85%
11 12	April 2021	53,011	10,771	1,903	17.67%
13	May 2021	41,406	9,574	1,888	19.72%
14	June 2021	47,579	11,312	3,421	30.24%
15	July 2021	56,977	12,219	4,720	38.63%
16	August 2021	77,936	14,391	5,750	39.96%
17	September 2021	57,105	16,963	3,331	19.64%

26. Respondents' treatment of Mr. Torres-Gutierrez's CalFresh application illustrates the impact of their failures on households who need emergency food assistance. Mr. Torres-Gutierrez's mother passed away when he was 18 months old, and his father raised him with the help of his older sister. With the exception of a brief period of time after which Mr. Torres-Gutierrez's father lost his job in 2013, Mr. Torres-Gutierrez's father did not seek public benefits on behalf of his son because he was able to provide for him by working as a day laborer.

27. In Spring 2021, when Mr. Torres-Gutierrez was 17 years old, his father suffered a severe stroke. His father was hospitalized for a month and was also diagnosed with COVID-19. The stroke left Mr. Torres-Gutierrez's father unable to speak clearly or to walk more than short

distances and caused lasting paralysis on his right side.

- 28. With Mr. Torres-Gutierrez's father unable to work, the household initially relied on help
- 2 from relatives and donations of food from their church to meet their basic needs. But that help
- 3 did not last long. The household soon found themselves unable to meet their monthly rent and in
- 4 desperate need of money for food.
- 5 29. On June 4, 2021, Mr. Torres-Gutierrez's father submitted an application for CalFresh
- 6 benefits on his son's behalf. Mr. Torres-Gutierrez's sister helped his father complete the
- 7 application online via the Department's webpage, "Your Benefits Now".
- 8 30. The application indicated that the household had no income or resources.
- 9 31. The application form has questions directed at eligibility for CalFresh expedited service
- 10 including, "Is your household's gross income less than \$150 and cash on hand, checking and
- savings accounts \$100 or less?" and "Is your household's combined gross income and liquid
- 12 resources less than the combined rent/mortgage and utilities?" Both questions were answered
- 13 "Yes," indicating that the household met the requirements for expedited service and was entitled
- 14 to receive benefits no later than June 7.
- 32. Instead, the household had to wait until July 19—45 days—before receiving CalFresh,
- and they only received their benefits after an attorney intervened on their behalf.
- 33. What transpired during the intervening 45 days reveals the dysfunction in the way the
- 18 Department processes its emergency applications, and the barriers it erects that prevent
- 19 individuals and families, like the Torres-Gutierrez household, from receiving the critical benefits
- 20 to which they are entitled.
- 34. Respondents require CalFresh applicants to undergo an interview with a Department
- 22 staff person before the Department will approve a CalFresh application. The application form
- 23 explains that Respondents' practice is to conduct these interviews by phone after the application
- 24 is submitted, unless an applicant is turning in the application in person at a Department office.
- 25 35. The application has a box applicants can check to indicate that they "need other
- 26 arrangements" for the interview due to a disability. Mr. Torres-Gutierrez's father has difficulty
- 27 communicating on the phone due to impairments related to his stroke; accordingly, the box

- 1 indicating a need for other arrangements was checked on the household's CalFresh application.
- 2 But Respondents never contacted the household to make other arrangements.
- 36. Upon information and belief, the household heard nothing from the Department until Mr.
- 4 Torres-Gutierrez's father got two voicemails from the Department on June 21, 2021, 17 days
- 5 after they filed their application and two weeks after they should have started receiving expedited
- 6 service CalFresh benefits. Sometime after that, they received a form letter dated June 21, 2021,
- 7 stating that the Department had scheduled a phone interview for Mr. Torres-Gutierrez's father on
- 8 June 28 at 8:30 AM. Between June 21 and June 28, Mr. Torres-Gutierrez's father tried calling
- 9 the Department, but was not able to get through due to high call volume.
- 37. Upon information and belief, on the morning of June 28, Mr. Torres-Gutierrez's father
- 11 waited by the phone, but no call ever came. A few days later, the household received a form
- 12 letter dated June 28, 2021, stating that he had missed his interview and had until July 8 to
- 13 complete the interview. He heard nothing further until July 6, when he received a form letter
- 14 stating that the application was denied because he missed his phone interview.
- 38. After the family enlisted the assistance of an attorney, the Department finally processed
- 16 Mr. Torres-Gutierrez's application and granted the household CalFresh benefits on July 19,
- 17 2021. It took another nine days until Mr. Torres-Gutierrez actually received access to the
- 18 benefits.
- 19 39. During the six weeks between June 7, when Mr. Torres-Gutierrez by law should have
- 20 received CalFresh benefits, and July 19, when the County finally granted the application, Mr.
- 21 Torres-Gutierrez, then 17-years-old, was often hungry. He would skip meals, tried to depress his
- 22 appetite, and felt tired much of the time.
- 40. Mr. Torres-Gutierrez's family's experience is only one example of Respondents'
- 24 systemic failure to timely provide expedited service to CalFresh applicants, a failure that is
- 25 negatively impacting thousands of County residents every month.
- 26 41. Hunger Action is also harmed by Respondents' failure. When Respondents do not timely
- 27 provide expedited CalFresh benefits to eligible applicants that Hunger Action has assisted, its
- 28 staff must spend extra time following up on those applications, reducing the time they have

- available to help others. People who do not receive timely benefits are less likely to recommend
- 2 Hunger Action and CalFresh to others in need of assistance, impairing the organization's efforts
- 3 to increase CalFresh enrollment and ensure that the program reaches those who need it.
- 4 42. LA CAN and its members are also impacted by Respondents' delays in processing
- 5 expedited service applications.
- 43. LA CAN's members are people living in Skid Row and South Central Los Angeles who
- 7 are either experiencing poverty or have experienced poverty in the past. Many of them are
- 8 eligible for CalFresh benefits and many receive CalFresh. Some are eligible but have not been
- 9 able to access CalFresh due to the types of barriers Mr. Torres-Gutierrez faced.
- 44. One of LA CAN's five member-led action committees is the Skid Row Food & Wellness
- 11 Collaborative, whose primary goal is to get food into the hands of the people who need it most.
- 12 It achieves this through organizing, advocacy, education, and delivery of food to community
- 13 members, many of whom are living unsheltered in Skid Row.
- 45. LA CAN has spent time and money to provide free food to people on Skid Row, both
- 15 before and during the pandemic. Some of the people who rely on free food from LA CAN are
- 16 eligible for CalFresh but have been unable to access CalFresh due to processing delays.
- 17 46. Respondents' expedited service processing delays also frustrate LA CAN's mission
- 18 because those delays prevent unhoused residents of Skid Row and other people in dire need from
- 19 accessing food and undermine people's ability to achieve and maintain stability.

20 FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 21 (Failure to Perform Ministerial Duty Under Welfare and Institutions Code § 18914)
- 22 (All Petitioners against all Respondents)
- 47. Petitioners re-allege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set
- 24 forth herein.
- 48. Respondents have a clear, present, and ministerial duty under Welfare and Institutions
- 26 Code § 18914(b) to issue CalFresh benefits to all applicants who qualify for expedited service
- 27 "no later than the third day following the date the application was filed" (counting a weekend as
- 28 one calendar day).

1 49. At all times, Respondents have had and continue to have the ability to per	form their
--	------------

- duties under § 18914(b) but have failed to do so. They have consistently failed to issue CalFresh
- 3 benefits to thousands of households eligible for expedited service within the time required under
- 4 the statute. Unless compelled to perform their duties in accordance with the law, Respondents
- 5 will continue to fail to do so.
- 6 50. Hunger Action has a beneficial interest in Respondents' performance of their duties under
- 7 § 18914(b), because Respondents' failure to perform those duties impacts the organization's
- 8 efforts to increase CalFresh enrollment and ensure that the program reaches those who need it.
- 9 51. LA CAN and its members have a beneficial interest in Respondents' performance of their
- duties under § 18914(b), in that many of its members and others in the low-income communities
- 11 it serves qualify for expedited service.
- 12 52. Mr. Torres-Gutierrez has a beneficial interest in Respondents' performance of their duties
- under § 18914(b), in that he may need to apply for CalFresh benefits in the future and may again
- 14 qualify for expedited service.
- 53. Petitioners also are interested as citizens in Respondents' performance of their public
- 16 duties under § 18914(b).
- 17 54. Petitioners have exhausted available administrative remedies and have no plain, speedy,
- and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of the law other than this action to compel
- 19 Respondents to perform their duties under § 18914(b).

20 SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 21 (Failure to Perform Ministerial Duty Under 7 U.S.C. § 2020(e)(9))
- 22 (All Petitioners against all Respondents)
- 55. Petitioners re-allege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set
- 24 forth herein.
- 56. Respondents have a clear, present, and ministerial duty under 7 U.S.C. § 2020(e)(9) to
- 26 issue CalFresh benefits to all applicants who qualify for expedited service "no later than 7 days
- 27 after the date of application."

- 57. At all times, Respondents have had and continue to have the ability to perform their
- 2 duties under 7 U.S.C. § 2020(e)(9) but have failed to do so. They have consistently failed to
- 3 issue CalFresh benefits to thousands of households eligible for expedited service within the time
- 4 required under the statute. Unless compelled to perform their duties in accordance with the law,
- 5 Respondents will continue to fail to do so.
- 58. Hunger Action has a beneficial interest in Respondents' performance of their duties under
- 7 7 U.S.C. § 2020(e)(9), because Respondents' failure to perform those duties impacts the
- 8 organization's efforts to increase CalFresh enrollment and ensure that the program reaches those
- 9 who need it.
- 10 59. LA CAN and its members have a beneficial interest in Respondents' performance of their
- duties under 7 U.S.C. § 2020(e)(9), in that many of its members and others in the low-income
- 12 communities it serves qualify for expedited service.
- 60. Mr. Torres-Gutierrez has a beneficial interest in Respondents' performance of their duties
- under 7 U.S.C. § 2020(e)(9) in that he may need to apply for CalFresh benefits in the future and
- 15 may again qualify for expedited service.
- 61. Petitioners also are interested as citizens in Respondents' performance of their public
- 17 duties under § 7 U.S.C. § 2020(e)(9).
- 62. Petitioners have exhausted available administrative remedies and have no plain, speedy,
- 19 and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of the law other than this action to compel
- 20 Respondents to perform their duties under 7 U.S.C. § 2020(e)(9).
- 21 THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF
- 22 (Taxpayer Action to Prevent Illegal Expenditure of Funds, Code Civ. Proc. § 526a)
- 23 (All Petitioners against all Respondents)
- 24 63. Petitioners re-allege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set
- 25 forth herein.
- 26 64. Respondents are illegally expending public funds by performing their duties in violation
- 27 of state and federal law, as alleged in this petition.

1	65. Petitioners have paid taxes to	Los Angeles County within one year of the commencement		
2	of this action.			
3	66. Unless and until enjoined by this Court, Respondents' conduct will cause irreparable			
4	injury to Petitioners because Respondents will continue to make illegal and wasteful			
5	expenditures.			
6	P	RAYER FOR RELIEF		
7	WHEREFORE, Petitioners pr	ray for relief as follows:		
8	(a) A writ of mandate under Code of Civil Procedure § 1085 ordering Respondents to			
9	comply with their duties under Welfare and Institutions Code § 18914 and 7 U.S.C. § 2020(e)(9)			
10	by issuing CalFresh benefits to all applicants who qualify for expedited service within three			
11	calendar days of application, as required by state law, and within seven calendar days of			
12	application, as required by federal law;			
13	(b) A preliminary and/or p	permanent injunction prohibiting respondents from violating		
14	Welfare and Institutions Code § 18914 and 7 U.S.C. § 2020(e)(9);			
15	(c) An order awarding Per	titioners reasonable costs and attorneys' fees to the extent		
16	permitted by law; and			
17	(d) Such other relief as the	e Court deems just and proper.		
18				
19	Dated: November 22, 2021	NEIGHBORHOOD LEGAL SERVICES OF LOS ANGELES		
20		OF LOS ANGELES		
21		By: <u>/s/ Lena Silver</u>		
22		LENA SILVER RACHEL STEINBACK		
23		DINA DIMIRJIAN NEIGHBORHOOD LEGAL SERVICES		
24		OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY		
25		1102 East Chevy Chase Dr. Glendale, CA 91205		
26		Telephone: (818) 834-7547 Facsimile: (833) 537-5529		
27		lenasilver@nlsla.org		
28		rachelsteinback@nlsla.org dinadimirjian@nlsla.org		

1	By: /s/ Melissa A. Morris
2	MELISSA A. MORRIS
	VALERIE FELDMAN LAUREN HANSEN
3	PUBLIC INTEREST LAW PROJECT
4	449 15th Street, Suite 301
5	Oakland, CA 94612
3	Telephone: (510) 891-9794
6	Facsimile: (510) 891-9727
7	mmorris@pilpca.org
,	vfeldman@pilpca.org lhansen@pilpca.org
8	
9	Ry /s/ Robert D. Newman
	By: <u>/s/ Robert D. Newman</u> ALEXANDER PRIETO
10	ROBERT D. NEWMAN
11	WESTERN CENTER ON LAW & POVERTY
10	3701 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 301
12	Los Angeles, CA 90010
13	Telephone: (213) 235-2617 Facsimile: (213) 487-0242
1.4	aprieto@wclp.org
14	rnewman@wclp.org
15	
16	
17	
18	
10	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

VERIFICATION

I, Lena Silver, state that:

- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in California, and my professional office is located in Los Angeles County.
 - 2. I represent Petitioners who reside in Los Angeles County.
- 3. I have read the Petition for Writ of Mandate and Complaint for Injunctive Relief and know the contents therein. They are true to the best of my knowledge except those allegations on information and belief which I believe to be true. I am also more familiar with the legal claims raised in this case than the Petitioners.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this verification was executed on November 19, 2021 in Los Angeles, California.

Lena Silver

Lena Silver

PLEADING TITLE - 1

I, Frank Tamborello, am the Executive Director of Hunger Action Los Angeles, one of the petitioners in this action, and am authorized to make this verification on its behalf. I have read the Petition for Writ of Mandate and Complaint for Injunctive Relief. To the extent that the Petition is based upon facts known to me, I verify them to be true, and otherwise, I am informed and believe that all facts therein are true.

VERIFICATION

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on November 19, 2021 in Los Angeles, California.

Frank Tamborello

Frank Tamborello

PLEADING TITLE - 1

PLEADING TITLE - 1

VERIFICATION

I, Todd Cunningham, am the Food and Wellness Organizer of Cangress dba Los Angeles Community Action Network (LA CAN), one of the petitioners in this action, and am authorized to make this verification on its behalf. I have read the Petition for Writ of Mandate and Complaint for Injunctive Relief. To the extent that the Petition is based upon facts known to me, I verify them to be true, and otherwise, I am informed and believe that all facts therein are true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on November 19, 2021 in Los Angeles, California.

Todd Cunningham

Todd Cunningham

VERIFICATION

I, Peter Jeovanny Torres-Gutierrez, am one of the petitioners in this action. I have read the Petition for Writ of Mandate and Complaint for Injunctive Relief. To the extent that the Petition is based upon facts known to me, I verify them to be true, and otherwise, I am informed and believe that all facts therein are true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on November 19, 2021 in Los Angeles, California.

Peter

Peter Jeovanny Torres-Gutierrez

PLEADING TITLE - 1